



# Shodhpith

## International Multidisciplinary Research Journal

(International Open Access, Peer-reviewed & Refereed Journal)  
(Multidisciplinary, Bimonthly, Multilanguage)

Volume: 1      Issue: 2

March-April 2025

## **The Red Fort: Symbol of Power, Memory, and Nationhood**

**Lalit Mohan Joshi**

*Research Scholar, Department of History, Sunrise University, Alwar (Rajasthan)*

**Prof.(Dr.) Rahesh Kumar Meena**

*Research Supervisor, Department of History, Sunrise University, Alwar (Rajasthan)*

### **Introduction**

The Red Fort of Delhi, built by the Mughal emperor Shah Jahan in the 17th century, is one of the most enduring monuments in Indian history. Rising above the Yamuna River, its massive sandstone walls and ornate interiors reflect the ambitions of an empire at its peak. More than a palace, it was a city within a city, housing royalty, courtiers, soldiers, and artisans. Over centuries, the fort became a stage upon which India's history unfolded: the grandeur of the Mughals, the decline of their authority, the assertion of British colonial rule, and the struggles of nationalist resistance. Today, the Red Fort functions as both a UNESCO World Heritage Site and the symbolic heart of independent India, where every year the Prime Minister hoists the national flag on Independence Day. This paper examines the Red Fort's trajectory from its construction to its role in modern memory, highlighting how architecture, politics, and culture intersected in this iconic monument.

### **Construction and Mughal Grandeur**

Shah Jahan commissioned the Red Fort in 1638, at the same time he shifted his capital from Agra to the newly planned city of Shahjahanabad. The fort was completed in 1648 after a decade of work, with the assistance of chief architect Ustad Ahmad Lahori, who also designed the Taj Mahal (Chandra, 2005). Its walls of red sandstone, stretching over 2 kilometers, gave the structure its popular name: Lal Qila. The fort was conceived not only as a military stronghold but also as a luxurious palace complex. Inside its walls were gardens laid out in the charbagh (four-part) style, water channels that cooled and ornamented spaces, and palaces decorated with inlay and marble. Among its most celebrated structures were:

- Diwan-i-Aam (Hall of Public Audience) – where the emperor addressed petitions and symbolically embodied justice.
- Diwan-i-Khas (Hall of Private Audience) – adorned with the famous Peacock Throne, where the emperor met dignitaries.
- Rang Mahal (Palace of Colors) – the private quarters of the royal women, decorated with frescoes and reflective glass.
- Moti Masjid (Pearl Mosque) – built by Aurangzeb in white marble for private prayer.

The fort symbolized the cosmopolitan spirit of the Mughal court, where Persian aesthetics blend-

ed with Indian traditions. Poets like Mir Taqi Mir and Mirza Ghalib, musicians of the Hindustani classical tradition, and artisans of jewelry and textiles found patronage within its walls. It was not just a political center but a hub of Indo-Islamic culture.

## Decline of the Mughal Empire

The Red Fort's grandeur began to fade with the decline of Mughal power in the 18th century. Aurangzeb's death in 1707 left the empire weakened, and Delhi became vulnerable to invasions. The most devastating came in 1739 when Nadir Shah of Persia sacked the city. The Peacock Throne, Kohinoor diamond, and countless treasures were carried away (Eraly, 2007). This event marked a symbolic rupture: the Red Fort was no longer the secure seat of power but a reminder of Mughal fragility.

In the decades that followed, Delhi was repeatedly occupied by Marathas, Afghans under Ahmad Shah Abdali, and eventually the British East India Company. Yet even in decline, the fort remained a symbol of authority. Rulers and invaders alike recognized that to control Delhi, one had to occupy the Red Fort.

## The 1857 Revolt and Colonial Re-appropriation

The Revolt of 1857 transformed the Red Fort from a Mughal palace into a site of colonial conquest. Bahadur Shah Zafar, the last Mughal emperor, was declared the symbolic leader of the rebellion, and the fort became its focal point. When the revolt was suppressed, the British captured Zafar and put him on trial in the Diwan-i-Khas. His exile to Rangoon marked the final eclipse of the Mughal dynasty (Dalrymple, 2006).

The British restructured the fort drastically. Many original palaces, gardens, and pavilions were demolished to make way for military barracks, hospitals, and warehouses. The fort became a garrison, stripped of much of its cultural richness. Colonial preservation policies later recognized its architectural value, but by then much of the original fabric had been lost. The transformation was deliberate: by erasing Mughal grandeur, the British asserted their dominance.

## The Red Fort and Indian Nationalism

In the early 20th century, the Red Fort regained symbolic importance. Nationalists saw it as a reminder of lost sovereignty and a rallying point for independence. The Indian National Army (INA) trials of 1945–46, held within the fort's precincts, were especially significant. Officers of Subhas Chandra Bose's INA were tried by the British for treason. The trials electrified public opinion, with large protests in support of the accused. The choice of the Red Fort as the venue linked the struggle for independence with the memory of Mughal decline, underscoring its layered symbolism (Khan, 2015).

After independence in 1947, the Red Fort became the stage for Jawaharlal Nehru's historic speech, where he declared India's freedom and hoisted the national flag. Since then, the annual Independence Day address from the fort's ramparts has become a tradition, reaffirming the monument's centrality in the story of modern India.

## The Fort in Contemporary India

Today, the Red Fort is both a monument of the past and an active part of India's political culture. Declared a UNESCO World Heritage Site in 2007, it attracts millions of tourists each year. Sound-and-light shows narrate its history, while cultural events bring new life to its courtyards. Yet challenges remain. Air pollution in Delhi discolors its sandstone walls, urban encroachments threaten its surroundings, and heavy tourist traffic strains its infrastructure (Asher, 2006). Conservation projects by the Archaeological Survey of India and partnerships with private organizations attempt to balance preservation with public access. At the same time, the fort remains a living symbol of national pride. The annual flag-hoisting ceremony is broadcast to millions, reinforcing its role as a national stage. In times



of political contestation, too, the Red Fort has served as a site of protest and symbolism, reminding us that monuments are not just relics of the past but active participants in public memory.

## Cultural Life and Symbolism Beyond Politics

Beyond politics and architecture, the Red Fort continues to represent a cultural identity. Literature, poetry, and art frequently reference it as a symbol of Delhi's lost grandeur. Urdu poetry, particularly that of Bahadur Shah Zafar, evokes the melancholy of a dynasty in decline, with the fort as a backdrop. Festivals such as Dussehra processions once passed its gates, linking royal authority with religious ritual. Today, the Qila-e-Mubarak (Blessed Fort), as it was once known, functions as a canvas upon which narratives of both loss and resilience are projected.

## Conclusion

The Red Fort's journey from Mughal palace to colonial garrison to national monument reflects the layered history of India itself. Constructed as the seat of imperial power, it bore witness to decline, conquest, rebellion, and renewal. As a symbol, it represents the fragility of empires, the brutality of conquest, and the resilience of cultural memory. Today, it is not just a tourist attraction but a living monument, central to the political rituals and cultural imagination of the nation. To study the Red Fort is to study the arc of Indian history: the rise of the Mughals, the upheavals of colonialism, and the triumph of independence. Its sandstone walls, scarred yet enduring, remind us that monuments are not static relics but evolving symbols of identity, power, and nationhood.

## Author's Declaration:

I/We, the author(s)/co-author(s), declare that the entire content, views, analysis, and conclusions of this article are solely my/our own. I/We take full responsibility, individually and collectively, for any errors, omissions, ethical misconduct, copyright violations, plagiarism, defamation, misrepresentation, or any legal consequences arising now or in the future. The publisher, editors, and reviewers shall not be held responsible or liable in any way for any legal, ethical, financial, or reputational claims related to this article. All responsibility rests solely with the author(s)/co-author(s), jointly and severally. I/We further affirm that there is no conflict of interest financial, personal, academic, or professional regarding the subject, findings, or publication of this article.

## References

1. Asher, Catherine B. *Architecture of Mughal India*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
2. Chandra, Satish. *Medieval India: From Sultanat to the Mughals, 1526–1748*. Har-Anand Publications, 2005.
3. Dalrymple, William. *The Last Mughal: The Fall of a Dynasty, Delhi, 1857*. Bloomsbury, 2006.
4. Eraly, Abraham. *The Mughal Throne: The Saga of India's Great Emperors*. Phoenix, 2007.
5. Khan, Yasmin. *The Great Partition: The Making of India and Pakistan*. Yale University Press, 2015.

## Cite this Article-

'Lalit Mohan Joshi; Prof.(Dr.) Rahesh Kumar Meena', 'The Red Fort: Symbol of Power, Memory, and Nationhood', Shodhpith International Multidisciplinary Research Journal, ISSN: 3049-3331 (Online), Volume:1, Issue:02, March-April 2025.

Journal URL- <https://www.shodhpith.com/index.html>

Published Date- 06 March 2025

DOI-10.64127/

